

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/018,052

Applicant(s)

HAMPRECHT ET AL.

Examiner

Drew E. Becker

Art Unit

1761

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Drew E. Becker

(3) _____

(2) James Ausley

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 23 February 2006

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: none

Identification of prior art discussed: none

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner called Mr Ausley to inquire whether a response had been made to the office action of August 17, 2005. Mr Ausley indicated that only a 1-month extension had been filed in order to keep pendency alive for a continuation application.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Claim(s) discussed: none

Identification of prior art discussed: none

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner called Mr Ausley to inquire whether a response had been made to the office action of August 17, 2005. Mr Ausley indicated that only a 1-month extension had been filed in order to keep pendency alive for a continuation application.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required